

The irreducible unitary representations of the extended Poincaré group in (1+1) dimensions^a

R. O. de Mello^b

*Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista
R.Pamplona 145, 01405-900, S.Paulo, SP, Brazil*

V. O. Rivelles^c

*Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo
C.Postal 66318, 05315-970, S.Paulo, SP, Brazil*

(Dated: February 7, 2008)

We prove that the extended Poincaré group in (1+1) dimensions $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is non-nilpotent solvable exponential, and therefore that it belongs to type I. We determine its first and second cohomology groups in order to work out a classification of the two-dimensional relativistic elementary systems. Moreover, all irreducible unitary representations of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ are constructed by the orbit method. The most physically interesting class of irreducible representations corresponds to the anomaly-free relativistic particle in (1+1) dimensions, which cannot be fully quantized. However, we show that the corresponding coadjoint orbit of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ determines a covariant maximal polynomial quantization by unbounded operators, which is enough to ensure that the associated quantum dynamical problem can be consistently solved, thus providing a physical interpretation for this particular class of representations.

PACS numbers: 02.20.Qs, 02.40.Yy, 03.65.Fd, 04.60.Kz, 11.30.Cp

I. INTRODUCTION

Much of the interest in the extended Poincaré group in (1+1) dimensions $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ stems from the fact that the Callan–Giddings–Harvey–Strominger (CGHS) model of two-dimensional dilatonic gravity¹ may be formulated as a gauge theory² of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$. The “string-inspired” CGHS theory is particularly interesting because it generates an exactly solvable model of quantum gravity, which allows the investigation of several aspects of quantum black hole physics.^{3,4} An outstanding problem in this context is the coupling of matter sources in an extended Poincaré gauge-invariant fashion.^{5,6,7}

The main purpose of this paper is to prove that $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is solvable exponential, so that the Bernat–Pukanszky theory of exponential groups^{8,9} can be strictly applied to work out all its unitary irreducible representations (irrep’s). Some of these irrep’s were presented in Gadella *et al.*¹⁰ but, although it was mentioned¹¹ that these irrep’s were calculated by the Mackey theory and the orbit method, it was not shown that $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ has a regular semidirect product structure, nor that $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is solvable exponential. These authors adopt the same point of view as that of Cariñena *et al.*,¹² which should be contrasted with ours.

Our approach to the two-dimensional relativistic elementary systems is similar to that which was adopted by Azcárraga and Izquierdo¹³ with respect to a nonrelativistic particle of unit charge in a constant magnetic field. Indeed, we show in this paper that the coadjoint orbit corresponding to the anomaly-free relativistic particle in (1+1) dimensions determines a covariant maximal polynomial quantization for it, which provides a physical interpretation for the associated class of irrep’s of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we show that $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is solvable exponential and calculate

^aRevised version of paper published in J. Math. Phys. **45**, 1156 (2004).

^bElectronic mail: romello@ift.unesp.br

^cElectronic mail: rivelles@fma.if.usp.br

its first and second cohomology groups. In Sec. III, we determine the coadjoint orbits of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ in order to classify the two-dimensional relativistic elementary systems and to work out explicitly all the irrep's of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$. In Sec. IV, we provide a physical interpretation for a particular class of irrep's of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ through a covariant maximal polynomial quantization of the anomaly-free relativistic particle in (1+1) dimensions. Finally, in Sec. V we draw our conclusions and discuss further possible developments. We sketch the method of orbits in the Appendix, in order to provide some supplementary material for the understanding of Sec. III.

II. THE EXTENDED POINCARÉ GROUP IN (1+1) DIMENSIONS $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$

The extended Poincaré algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ is defined by means of an unconventional contraction of a pseudoextension¹³ of the anti-de Sitter algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2,1)$ as

$$[P_a, J] = \sqrt{-h}\varepsilon_a{}^b P_b, \quad [P_a, P_b] = B\varepsilon_{ab}I, \quad \text{and} \quad [P_a, I] = [J, I] = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$, $\varepsilon^{01} = -\varepsilon_{01} = 1$, and the indices a and b are raised and lowered by the metric $h_{ab} = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ with $h := \det h_{ab} = -1$. Throughout this paper, we shall adopt units where $c = 1$. The generators of translations are $P_a := \bar{T}_a$, and their dimensions are L^{-1} . The generator of Lorentz transformations is $J := \bar{T}_2$, which is dimensionless. The central generator, the dimension of which is $[\hbar]^{-1}$, is $I := \bar{T}_3$, and the central charge has dimension $[B] = L^{-2} \times [\hbar]$.

The group law $g''(\theta''^a, \alpha'', \beta'') = g'(\theta'^a, \alpha', \beta')g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)$ determined by Eq. (1) is given by

$$\theta''^b = \theta^b + \Lambda(\alpha')^b{}_a \theta^a, \quad \alpha'' = \alpha' + \alpha, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta'' = \beta' + \beta + \frac{B}{2}\theta'^c \varepsilon_{cb} \Lambda(\alpha')^b{}_a \theta^a, \quad (2)$$

where $\Lambda(\alpha)^a{}_b = \delta^a{}_b \cosh \alpha + \sqrt{-h}\varepsilon^a{}_b \sinh \alpha$, and it corresponds to the coset decomposition $g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta) = \exp(\theta^a P_a) \exp(\alpha J) \exp(\beta I)$. The adjoint representation of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is given by

$$(\text{Ad } g)^A{}_B = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda^a{}_b & \theta^c \varepsilon_c{}^a \sqrt{-h} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ B\theta^c \varepsilon_{cd} \Lambda^d{}_b & -\frac{B}{2\sqrt{-h}} \theta^a \theta_a & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the invariant Casimir operator determines the metric h_{AB} such that $\langle V, V \rangle = h^{AB} V_A V_B = V^a V_a - 2(B/\sqrt{-h})V_2 V_3$, for any vector $V = V^A \bar{T}_A$ in $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$, with $A, B \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. The dimensions of the metric components are $[h_{ab}] = L^{-2}$, and $[h_{23}] = [h_{32}] = [\hbar]^{-1}$.

The extended Poincaré algebra has the structure of a semidirect product $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1 = \mathfrak{so}(1, 1) \times_{\rho} \mathfrak{wh}$, where $\mathfrak{so}(1, 1) = \mathfrak{R}$ is the Abelian subalgebra generated by J , and $\mathfrak{wh}$ is the maximal nilpotent ideal spanned by $\{P_0, P_1, I\}$, which is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of the Weyl–Heisenberg group WH. The representation ρ of $\mathfrak{so}(1, 1)$ on $\mathfrak{wh}$ is given by the restriction of the adjoint representation of $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ to $\mathfrak{so}(1, 1)$.

It is well-known that $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ is solvable;⁵ however, it is also not nilpotent, since its descending central series, $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1 = \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1, \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^{1^2} = [\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1, \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1] = \mathfrak{wh}, \dots, \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^{1^k} = [\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1, \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^{1^{k-1}}] = \mathfrak{wh} \quad \forall k \geq 2$, does not vanish for any value of k . It is also not difficult to see that the extended Poincaré group $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ and its Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ are solvable exponential, since for any $X \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ the eigenvalues of $\text{ad}(X)$ are all real.¹⁴

As a consequence, $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is defined as the connected and simply connected image of $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ by the exponential mapping $\bar{\mathcal{P}} = \exp(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1)$, and every element $g \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}$ belongs to a one-parameter subgroup, so the group law given by Eq. (2) holds globally. Another consequence is that $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is homologically trivial; therefore, the Van Est theorem¹³ ensures that the cohomology groups on $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ are canonically isomorphic to the corresponding cohomology groups on $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$.

The first cohomology group of $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ can be readily calculated, $H_0^1(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1, \mathfrak{R}) = (\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1/[\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1, \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1])^* = \mathfrak{R}$. In order to work out the second cohomology group, it is enough to show that the space of two cocycles $Z_0^2(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1, \mathfrak{R}) \subset \Lambda^2 \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ has the same dimension of the space of two coboundaries $B_0^2(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1, \mathfrak{R})$. It turns out that $\dim H_0^2(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1, \mathfrak{R}) = \dim Z_0^2(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1, \mathfrak{R}) - \dim B_0^2(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1, \mathfrak{R}) = 0$.

III. CONSTRUCTION OF THE IRREP'S OF $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ BY ITS COADJOINT ORBITS

It will be shown in Sec. IV that the anomaly-free Lagrangian describing a relativistic particle in flat two-dimensional space-time must be invariant under $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, consistently with $H_0^2(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, \mathfrak{R}) = 0$. It follows that the relevant dynamical group in two dimensions is $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, so the adequate statement of the principle of relativity in (1+1) dimensions should require that the equations of motion are covariant under the transformations of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$.

This means that the elementary particles in (1+1) dimensions must belong to irrep's of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ at the quantum level, and constitute relativistic elementary systems in this sense. On the other hand, the group-theoretic approach is concerned about a corresponding notion of elementary system at the classical level, i.e., a system that cannot be decomposed into smaller parts without breaking the symmetry.¹⁵ It turns out that the irreducibility condition is translated naturally into a transitivity one at the classical level; therefore, a classical elementary system is defined as a homogeneous symplectic manifold (HSM). We say that an elementary system (S, Ω) is a Hamiltonian G -space,¹⁶ or a strictly homogeneous symplectic manifold, if further the dynamical group G possesses a Poisson action upon S .

We recall that, due to the Kirillov theorem,¹⁷ every HSM associated with some dynamical group G is locally isomorphic to a coadjoint orbit of G or to a coadjoint orbit of the central extension of G by $\mathfrak{R}$. Then, if further all the coadjoint orbits of G are simply connected and $H_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{R}) = 0$, then the momentum mapping will be a symplectomorphism between every classical elementary system (S, Ω) upon which the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ is globally Hamiltonian and a certain coadjoint orbit.

Applying this theorem, we discover that every classical relativistic elementary system upon which the action of $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ is globally Hamiltonian is simply connected, and symplectomorphic to one of the coadjoint orbits of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ that are calculated below, since it is a connected solvable exponential Lie group with $H_0^2(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1, \mathfrak{R}) = 0$ (see Sec. II). Although this classification does not exhaust all the two-dimensional relativistic elementary systems, since $H_0^1(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, \mathfrak{R}) = \mathfrak{R}$, it is general enough to include the most physically interesting cases, such as the anomaly-free relativistic particle in (1+1) dimensions.

The coadjoint orbit through $\zeta = \zeta_A \bar{\omega}^A$ in $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^{1*}$ is formed by the points $\mu = u_A \bar{\omega}^A$ satisfying $u_A = \zeta_B (\text{Ad } g^{-1})^B_A$, where $\{\bar{\omega}^A\}$ is the basis of $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^{1*}$ dual to $\{\bar{T}_A\}$. As a consequence, the following identities hold: $u^A u_A = \zeta^A \zeta_A$ and $u_3 = \zeta_3$. The stability group of $\zeta \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^{1*}$ is generated by the subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_{2\zeta}^1 \subset \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$, which is the kernel of the Kirillov two form $B_\zeta(X, Y)$, formed by the vectors $Y \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ for which $\langle \zeta, [X, Y] \rangle = 0, \forall X \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$. The dimension of the coadjoint orbit can be deduced from the dimension of the stability group.

As the space of coadjoint orbits of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ parametrizes both the set of relativistic elementary systems in two dimensions and the unitary dual of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, we will present the coadjoint orbits of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ together with their associated irrep's. The problem splits into three cases, and we will follow the methodology sketched in the Appendix for working out all the irrep's of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$.

Since $\text{ad}(X)$ is traceless for all $X \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$, $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is unimodular (i.e., $\Delta_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}} = 1$). Also, because the real eigenvalues of $\text{ad}(X)$ are not all zero for every $X \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$, $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is not quasiniipotent (see the Appendix). Consequently, in order to apply the method of orbits to $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, we must find for any $\zeta \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^{1*}$ a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ of a maximal dimension in the family of the subalgebras subordinate to ζ , further satisfying Pukanszky's condition.

A. Case $\zeta_3 \neq 0$

The coadjoint orbit is the two-dimensional surface diffeomorphic to $\mathfrak{R}^2$ in the three-dimensional hyperplane $u_3 = \zeta_3$, defined by the equations

$$u_2 = \frac{u^a u_a \sqrt{-h}}{2B u_3} - \frac{\zeta^A \zeta_A \sqrt{-h}}{2B u_3} \quad \text{and} \quad u_3 = \zeta_3, \quad (3)$$

and passing through the point $\zeta = (0, 0, -[(\zeta^A \zeta_A \sqrt{-h})/2B\zeta_3], \zeta_3)$. These coadjoint orbits are classified by ζ_3 and $\zeta^A \zeta_A$.

Since we may choose any point on the coadjoint orbit (see the Appendix), we pick ζ . Denoting by (J, P_+, I) the subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ spanned by these vectors, where $P_+ = P_0 + P_1$, it is clear that $\mathfrak{h} = (J, P_+, I)$ is subordinate to ζ , since its first derived algebra is $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = (P_+)$, which is orthogonal to ζ or $\langle \zeta, (P_+) \rangle = 0$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ subordinate to ζ is also admissible, since its codimension is 1, which is half the dimension of the coadjoint orbit, and it satisfies Pukanszky's condition $\zeta + \mathfrak{h}^\perp \subset \text{orb}(\zeta)$. Since any other admissible subalgebra leads to a unitary equivalent representation (see the Appendix), we choose $\mathfrak{h}$.

The typical element of the subgroup H generated by $\mathfrak{h}$ will be denoted by $h(\theta^+, \alpha, \beta) = \exp(\theta^+ P_+) \exp(\alpha J) \exp(\beta I)$, so that we can define (see the Appendix) the one-dimensional representation of H by $\chi(\theta^+, \alpha, \beta) = U(h(\theta^+, \alpha, \beta)) = \exp(i(-\alpha[(\zeta^A \zeta_A \sqrt{-h})/2B\zeta_3] + \beta\zeta_3))$. The adjoint representation of the subgroup H can be straightforwardly calculated, so that the modulus of H is given by $\Delta_H(h) = |\det(\text{Ad } h)|^{-1} = e^\alpha$. The space $L(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ invariant under right translations on $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is formed by the complex functions satisfying the condition (see the Appendix)

$$\begin{aligned} F(h(\theta^{+'}, \alpha', \beta') \cdot g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)) &= e^{-(\alpha'/2)} \chi(\theta^{+'}, \alpha', \beta') F(g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)), \\ F\left(g\left(\Lambda^a{}_b(\alpha')\theta^b + \theta^{+'}, \alpha' + \alpha, \beta' + \beta + \frac{B}{2}\theta^{+'}e^{\alpha'}(\theta^0 - \theta^1)\right)\right) \\ &= e^{-(\alpha'/2)} \exp\left(i\left(-\alpha'\frac{\zeta^A \zeta_A \sqrt{-h}}{2B\zeta_3} + \beta'\zeta_3\right)\right) F(g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This means that the space $L(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ is determined by the value of F at $\theta^0 = \alpha = \beta = 0$.

It is not difficult to see that every element of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ can be uniquely written as $g = h \cdot k$, where $h \in H$, $k \in K$, and K is the one-parameter subgroup of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ generated by $P_1 \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$. Choosing the Borel mapping $s(x) := k$, where $x \in X = H \backslash \bar{\mathcal{P}}$ and $x = Hg = Hhk = Hk$, we can identify the right-coset space X with the subgroup $K \subset \bar{\mathcal{P}}$, in the sense that $s(X) = K$. The bi-invariant measure on $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ splits into $d\mu(g) = \Delta_{H, \bar{\mathcal{P}}}(h) d\nu_s(x) d\nu(h)$, where the measure on X is determined by the right Haar measure on $K = \mathfrak{R}$, $d\nu_s(x) = d\nu(s(x))$, which is only $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ -quasi invariant, because $\Delta_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}}(h) \neq \Delta_H(h)$, and is recognized to be just the Lebesgue measure $d\mu$ on $\mathfrak{R}$. Then, we can construct the Hilbert space $L^2(X, \nu_s, \mathbf{C}) = L^2(\mathfrak{R}, d\mu)$, formed by the functions defined by $f(x) = F(s(x))$, for every $F \in L^2(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ (see the Appendix), which obviously admits a $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ -invariant scalar product.

Solving the equation $s(x)g = hs(xg)$ for $h = h(\theta^+, \alpha', \beta')$, where $k = k(\theta^1)$ and $g = g(\theta''^a, \alpha'', \beta'')$, we can realize the induced representation $\text{ind}(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ on the separable Hilbert space $L^2(\mathfrak{R}, d\mu)$ of the square-integrable complex functions having compact support on $\mathfrak{R}$ through

$$\begin{aligned} [T(g)f](\theta^1) &= e^{-(\alpha''/2)} \exp\left[i\left(-\frac{\zeta^A \zeta_A \sqrt{-h}}{2B\zeta_3}\alpha'' + \left(\beta'' + \frac{B}{2}\theta''^0\theta^1 - \frac{B}{4}((\theta''^0)^2 - (\theta^1 + \theta''^1)^2)\right.\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left.\left.- \frac{B}{4}e^{-2\alpha''}(\theta''^0 - \theta^1 - \theta''^1)^2\right)\zeta_3\right)\right] f((\theta^1 + \theta''^1 - \theta''^0)e^{-\alpha''}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The corresponding representation of any $X \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_2^1$ can be readily calculated, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(I) &= i\zeta_3, & \rho(J) &= -\frac{1}{2} + i\left(-\frac{\zeta^A \zeta_A \sqrt{-h}}{2B\zeta_3} + \frac{B}{2}(\theta^1)^2\zeta_3\right) - \theta^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^1}, \\ \rho(P_0) &= iB\theta^1\zeta_3 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^1}, & \text{and } \rho(P_1) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This representation is anti-Hermitian, and the operator identity $\rho(J) = \sqrt{-h}(\rho(P^a)\rho(P_a) + \zeta^A \zeta_A)/2B\rho(I)$ holds. So we can write these irrep's simply as $T^{\zeta^A \zeta_A, \zeta_3}(g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)) = \exp(\theta^a \rho(P_a)) \exp(\alpha \rho(J)) \exp(\beta \rho(I))$.

The irrep's $T^{\zeta^A \zeta_A, \zeta_3}$ are faithful, and it can be shown that, in natural units and for $B = 1$, they are unitary equivalent to the irrep's of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ presented in Gadella *et al.*,¹⁰ but they are more general than the latter. Since the quantization of the corresponding elementary systems does not look anomalous (see Sec. IV), the irrep's in the form $T^{\zeta^A \zeta_A, \zeta_3}$ are the most physically interesting ones, although they do not correspond to coadjoint orbits of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ which are HSM's for $\mathcal{P}$.

B. Case $\zeta_3 = 0$ and $\zeta_a = 0$

The coadjoint orbit is the point $(0, 0, \zeta_2, 0)$ in the three-dimensional hyperplane $u_3 = 0$. These coadjoint orbits are classified by ζ_2 . It is clear that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \bar{\mathfrak{i}}_2^1$ is subordinate to ζ , since its first derived algebra is $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = \mathfrak{wh}$, which is orthogonal to ζ or $\langle \zeta, \mathfrak{wh} \rangle = 0$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ subordinate to ζ is also admissible, since $\text{codim } \mathfrak{h} = 0$, which is half the dimension of the coadjoint orbit, and it satisfies Pukanszky's condition $\zeta + \mathfrak{h}^\perp \subset \text{orb}(\zeta)$. It is not difficult to see that there is no other admissible subalgebra subordinate to ζ .

Denoting by $h(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta) = \exp(\theta^a P_a) \exp(\alpha J) \exp(\beta I)$ the typical element of the subgroup H generated by $\mathfrak{h}$, we can (see the Appendix) define the one-dimensional representation of H by $\chi(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta) = U(h(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)) = \exp(i\alpha\zeta_2)$. Since $H = \bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is unimodular, the space $L(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ invariant under right translations on $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is formed by the complex functions satisfying the condition

$$F(h(\theta'^a, \alpha', \beta') \cdot g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)) = \chi(\theta'^a, \alpha', \beta') F(g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)),$$

$$F\left(g\left(\Lambda^a{}_b(\alpha')\theta^b + \theta'^a, \alpha' + \alpha, \beta' + \beta + \frac{B}{2}\theta'^a \varepsilon_{ab} \Lambda^b{}_c(\alpha')\theta^c\right)\right) = \exp(i\alpha'\zeta_2)F(g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)). \quad (7)$$

This means that the space $L(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U) = \mathbf{C}$ is determined by the value of F at $\theta^a = \alpha = \beta = 0$, or $F(g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)) = \exp(i\alpha\zeta_2)F(e)$, so it is identified with the set of complex numbers.

It follows that the Hilbert space $L^2(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ is one-dimensional and it is formed by the complex functions $F \in L(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ for which $\|F\|^2 < \infty$, where $\|F\|^2 = (F, F)$ and the $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ -invariant scalar product is given by $(F_1, F_2) = \overline{F_1(e)}F_2(e)$. Consequently (see the Appendix), we can realize the induced representation $\text{ind}(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ through $[T(g)F](g') = \exp(i\alpha\zeta_2)F(g')$, where $g = g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)$ and $g' = g(\theta'^a, \alpha', \beta')$. The corresponding representation of any $X \in \bar{\mathfrak{i}}_2^1$ is given by $\rho(I) = 0$, $\rho(J) = i\zeta_2$, and $\rho(P_a) = 0$.

The representation of $\bar{\mathfrak{i}}_2^1$ on the Hilbert space $\mathbf{C}$ given above is clearly anti-Hermitian; therefore, the irrep's of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ may be simply written as $T^{\zeta_2}(g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)) = \exp(\theta^a \rho(P_a)) \exp(\alpha \rho(J)) \exp(\beta \rho(I))$, and the operator identity $\rho(P^a)\rho(P_a) - 2(B/\sqrt{-h})\rho(J)\rho(I) = -\zeta^A \zeta_A$ holds. We note that the irrep's T^{ζ_2} are obviously unfaithful and lack physical interest, although they correspond to coadjoint orbits of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ which are HSM's for $\mathcal{P}$.

C. Case $\zeta_3 = 0$ and $\zeta_a \neq 0$

The coadjoint orbit is the two-dimensional surface diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$, immersed in the three-dimensional hyperplane $u_3 = 0$ and defined by the equation

$$u^a u_a = \zeta^a \zeta_a, \quad (8)$$

which can be a hyperbolic cylinder or a half-plane translationally invariant in the direction of the u_2 axis. These coadjoint orbits are classified by ζ_a and gather into eight distinct families: two families with $\zeta^a \zeta_a < 0$, two with $\zeta^a \zeta_a > 0$, and the other four with $\zeta^a \zeta_a = 0$ (the u_2 axis does not belong to any family).

As we may choose any point on the coadjoint orbit (see the Appendix), we pick $\zeta = (\zeta_a, \zeta_2, 0)$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{wh}$ is subordinate to ζ , since its first derived algebra is $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = (I)$, which is orthogonal to

ζ or $\langle \zeta, (I) \rangle = 0$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ subordinate to ζ is also admissible, since $\text{codim } \mathfrak{h} = 1$, which is half the dimension of the coadjoint orbit, and it satisfies Pukanszky's condition $\zeta + \mathfrak{h}^\perp \subset \text{orb}(\zeta)$. Since any other admissible subalgebra leads to a unitary equivalent representation (see the Appendix), we choose $\mathfrak{h}$.

Denoting by $h(\theta^a, \beta) = \exp(\theta^a P_a) \exp(\beta I)$ the typical element of the subgroup H generated by $\mathfrak{h}$, we can (see the Appendix) define the one-dimensional representation of H by $\chi(\theta^a, \beta) = U(h(\theta^a, \beta)) = \exp(i\theta^a \zeta_a)$. Due to the fact that $H = WH$ is unimodular, the space $L(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ invariant under right translations on $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is formed by the complex functions satisfying the condition

$$\begin{aligned} F(h(\theta'^a, \beta') \cdot g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)) &= \chi(\theta'^a, \beta') F(g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)), \\ F\left(g\left(\theta^a + \theta'^a, \alpha, \beta' + \beta + \frac{B}{2}\theta'^a \varepsilon_{ab} \theta^b\right)\right) &= \exp(i\theta'^a \zeta_a) F(g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

This means that the space $L(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ is determined by the value of F at $\theta^a = \beta = 0$.

It is not difficult to see that every element of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ can be uniquely written as $g = h \cdot k$, where $h \in H$, $k \in K$, and K is the one-parameter subgroup of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ generated by $J \in \mathfrak{i}_2^1$. Choosing the Borel mapping $s(x) := k$, where $x \in X = H \backslash \bar{\mathcal{P}}$ and $x = Hg = Hhk = Hk$, we can identify the right-coset space X with the subgroup $K \subset \bar{\mathcal{P}}$, in the sense that $s(X) = K$. The bi-invariant measure on $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ splits into $d\mu(g) = \Delta_{H, \bar{\mathcal{P}}}(h) d\nu_s(x) d\nu(h)$, where the measure on X is determined by the right Haar measure on $K = \mathfrak{R}$, $d\nu_s(x) = d\nu(s(x))$, which is $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ -invariant, since $\Delta_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}}(h) = \Delta_H(h)$, and is just the Lebesgue measure $d\mu$ on $\mathfrak{R}$. Then, we can construct the Hilbert space $L^2(X, \nu_s, \mathbb{C}) = L^2(\mathfrak{R}, d\mu)$, formed by the functions defined by $f(x) = F(s(x))$ for every $F \in L^2(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ (see the Appendix), which obviously admits a $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ -invariant scalar product.

Solving the equation $s(x)g = hs(xg)$ for $h = h(\theta'^a, \beta')$, where $k = k(\alpha)$ and $g = g(\theta''^a, \alpha'', \beta'')$, we can realize the induced representation $\text{ind}(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, H, U)$ on the separable Hilbert space $L^2(\mathfrak{R}, d\mu)$ of the square-integrable complex functions having compact support on $\mathfrak{R}$ through $[T(g)f](\alpha) = \exp(i\Lambda(\alpha)^a \theta''^a \zeta_a) f(\alpha + \alpha'')$. The corresponding representation of any $X \in \mathfrak{i}_2^1$ is given by $\rho(I) = 0$, $\rho(J) = \partial/\partial\alpha$, and $\rho(P_a) = i\Lambda(\alpha)^b \zeta_b$.

The operator identity $\rho(P^a)\rho(P_a) - 2(B/\sqrt{-h})\rho(J)\rho(I) = -\zeta^A \zeta_A$ holds and the representation of $\mathfrak{i}_2^1$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathfrak{R}, d\mu)$ is clearly anti-Hermitian, so the irrep's of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ may be simply written as $T^{\zeta_a}(g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta)) = \exp(\theta^a \rho(P_a)) \exp(\alpha \rho(J)) \exp(\beta \rho(I))$. It can be shown that the irrep T^{ζ_a} is equivalent to the Wigner representation of the Poincaré group in (1+1) dimensions $\mathcal{P}$ (see Gadella *et al.*¹⁰ and Ali and Antoine¹⁸). We note that the irrep's T^{ζ_a} are unfaithful and not too interesting physically, since the quantization of the corresponding classical elementary systems looks anomalous, although they correspond to coadjoint orbits of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ which are HSM's for $\mathcal{P}$.

IV. THE ANOMALY-FREE RELATIVISTIC PARTICLE IN (1+1) DIMENSIONS

It is known that the dynamics of the relativistic particle in a flat (1+1) dimensional space-time M is described by the Lagrangian $L_B = L_0 + L_{WZ}$, where $L_0 = -m(-h)^{-1/4} \sqrt{\dot{q}^2}$ and $L_{WZ} = -(B/2)\varepsilon_{ab}\dot{q}^a q^b$. The central charge B is similar to an applied electrical force driving the particle into an uniformly accelerated relativistic motion⁵ and it is an additional free parameter (besides the mass m), fixed at the outset, that the relativistic particle theory must allow for, due to the existence of a nontrivial two cocycle in the second cohomology group of the Poincaré group in (1+1) dimensions $\mathcal{P}$. In fact, it was shown by Bargmann that $H_0^2(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{R}) = \mathfrak{R}$ then, as a consequence of the Lévy-Leblond theorem,¹³ all the inequivalent Lagrangians L_B quasi-invariant under $\mathcal{P}$ are classified by the central charge B .

However, it must be emphasized that the Lagrangian L_B is classically anomalous, since it is quasi-invariant under the transformations of $\mathcal{P}$, while the three conserved Noether charges together with the identity $\{\mathcal{N}_a, \mathcal{N}_2, 1\}$ constitute a Poisson bracket realization of $\mathfrak{i}_2^1$, assuming $B \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$. Since $H_0^2(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, \mathfrak{R}) = 0$ (see Sec. II), we can eliminate the classical anomaly by adding a third term to

L_B , depending on an extra degree of freedom χ with dimension of action and transforming as $\chi' = \chi + \beta + (B/2)\theta^a \varepsilon_{ab} \Lambda^b{}_c q^c$ under $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$. This addition neutralizes the Wess–Zumino term L_{WZ} , causing the new Lagrangian $\bar{L} = L_B - \dot{\chi}$ to be invariant under the transformations of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$. Now, there are four conserved Noether charges $\{\mathcal{N}_a, \mathcal{N}_2, \mathcal{N}_3\}$ associated with the anomaly-free Lagrangian $\bar{L}$, which realize $\bar{\mathfrak{i}}_2^1$ with the identically conserved charge $\mathcal{N}_3 = -1$ corresponding to the central generator realized by minus the identity.

Performing the Hamiltonian formulation of the system described by $\bar{L}$, we learn that χ is an internal gauge degree of freedom, corresponding to the phase of the particle's wave function at the quantum level. The reduced phase-space Γ_R^+ can be determined by observing that the constraint surface Γ^+ is globally diffeomorphic to $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, such that the action of the dynamical group upon Γ^+ is simply transitive and free.

It is not difficult to see that the generators of the gauge transformations corresponding to the two primary first-class constraints ϕ_m span a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}(\Gamma^+)$ which realizes a two-dimensional Abelian subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{i}}_2^1$, therefore, the reduced phase-space $\Gamma_R^+ \sim \mathbb{R}^2$ is diffeomorphic to the homogeneous coset space generated by the translations P_a and can be globally parametrized by the space–time coordinates q^a . The space Γ_R^+ is endowed with the symplectic form $\Omega^{+R} = d\Lambda^{+R} = (B/2)\varepsilon_{ab} dq^a \wedge dq^b$, the canonical one form of which is given by the Wess–Zumino form $\Lambda^{+R} = (B/2)\varepsilon_{ab} q^a dq^b$.

It turns out that the symplectic manifold $(\Gamma_R^+, \Omega^{+R})$ is a Hamiltonian G-space and hence a classical relativistic elementary system. Indeed $(\Gamma_R^+, \Omega^{+R})$ is homogeneous under the action of the dynamical group $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, which has a Poisson action upon Γ_R^+ , such that the globally Hamiltonian vector fields at $s \in \Gamma_R^+$ are given by $\bar{T}_a^{\Gamma_R^+}(s) = (\partial/\partial q^a)$, $\bar{T}_2^{\Gamma_R^+}(s) = \sqrt{-h} \varepsilon^a{}_b q^b (\partial/\partial q^a)$, and $\bar{T}_3^{\Gamma_R^+}(s) = 0$. The comoments are given by $u_a^{+R}(s) = Bq^b \varepsilon_{ba}$, $u_2^{+R}(s) = (m^2/2B) + [B/(2\sqrt{-h})]q_a q^a$, and $u_3^{+R}(s) = -1$. Note that they are not uniquely determined, since u_2^{+R} is defined up to an additive constant, consistently with $H_0^1(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$.

The identities $u_A^{+R} u^{+RA}(s) = m^2/\sqrt{-h}$ and $u_3^{+R}(s) = -1$ hold, so $u_2^{+R}(s)$ is functionally dependent on the $u_a^{+R}(s)$, which are regarded as the fundamental dynamical variables, and using the fact that the comoments constitute a Poisson bracket realization of $\bar{\mathfrak{i}}_2^1$, it is not difficult to see that $\{q^a, q^b\} = [\varepsilon^{ab}(\sqrt{-h})^2]/B$. The value of the momentum mapping $\mu_R^+(s) = ([u_A^{+R}(s)]/\hbar)\bar{\omega}^A$ at the origin $s_0 = (0, 0)$ in Γ_R^+ shall be denoted by $\zeta = \mu_R^+(s_0) = (0, 0, m^2/(2B\hbar), -1/\hbar)$, which satisfies

$$\zeta^A \zeta_A = \frac{m^2}{\sqrt{-h}\hbar^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_3 = -\frac{1}{\hbar}. \quad (10)$$

The second identity in Eq. (10) follows from the value of $u_3^{+R}(s)$ and the definition of the momentum mapping, so the quantization of $(\Gamma_R^+, \Omega^{+R})$ satisfies Dirac's quantum condition (this will be shown later).

Moreover, a straightforward calculation shows that¹⁹ the momentum mapping $\mu_R^+ : \Gamma_R^+ \rightarrow \text{orb}(\zeta)$ is a symplectomorphism between the elementary system $(\Gamma_R^+, \Omega^{+R})$ and the coadjoint orbit $(\text{orb}(\zeta), b)$ through $\zeta \in \bar{\mathfrak{i}}_2^{1*}$, with $\mu_R^{+*}b = (\Omega^{+R}/\hbar)$. It follows that Eq. (10) provides a physical interpretation for the parameters labeling the irrep $T^{\zeta^A \zeta_A, \zeta_3}$ of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, which corresponds to the relativistic elementary system $(\Gamma_R^+, \Omega^{+R})$.

A. Quantization of the anomaly-free relativistic particle in (1+1) dimensions

Before we address the quantum dynamics of the relativistic particle, though, let us clear up the quantization of the system at the kinematical level. Let $\varphi(\bar{T}_A) := i\rho(\bar{T}_A)$ be the Hermitian representation of $\bar{\mathfrak{i}}_2^1$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ defined from Eq. (6), for $\zeta = (0, 0, -[(\zeta^A \zeta_A \sqrt{-h})/2B\zeta_3], \zeta_3)$ satisfying Eq. (10), and $\mathfrak{j} \cong \bar{\mathfrak{i}}_2^1$ be the finite-dimensional Lie subalgebra of $C^\infty(\Gamma_R^+)$ spanned by the comoments $\{u_A^{+R}\}$. In addition, let $\lambda : \bar{\mathfrak{i}}_2^1 \mapsto C^\infty(\Gamma_R^+)$ be the lift of the mapping $\sigma : \bar{\mathfrak{i}}_2^1 \mapsto \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_R^+)$ induced by the left action of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ on Γ_R^+ , where $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma_R^+)$ denotes the set of all the globally Hamiltonian vector fields on Γ_R^+ . The mapping $\lambda(\bar{T}_A) = u_A^{+R}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism and it is well-defined, since Γ_R^+ is simply connected and $H_0^2(\bar{\mathfrak{i}}_2^1, \mathbb{R}) = 0$.

Then, $\text{orb}(\zeta)$ determines the linear map $\mathcal{Q} := (1/\zeta_3)\varphi \circ \lambda^{-1}$ from $\mathfrak{j}$ onto the linear space $\text{Op}(D) = \text{span}\{\mathcal{Q}(u_A^{+R})\}$ of (in general) unbounded Hermitian (or symmetric) operators preserving a fixed dense domain D in $L^2(\mathfrak{R}, dx)$, which satisfies $\mathcal{Q}(\{u_A^{+R}, u_B^{+R}\}) = -i\zeta_3[\mathcal{Q}(u_A^{+R}), \mathcal{Q}(u_B^{+R})]$ and $\mathcal{Q}(u_3^{+R}) = -1$. For the domain D , we can take the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathbb{C}) \subset L^2(\mathfrak{R}, dx)$ of rapidly decreasing smooth complex-valued functions, for instance.

Recalling that $u_3^{+R} = -1$, we can see that Dirac's quantum condition is satisfied if and only if $\zeta_3 = -(1/\hbar)$, consistently with Eq. (10). Furthermore, assuming that D is a domain of essential self-adjointness for $\text{Op}(D)$, we can see that the linear map $\mathcal{Q}$ is actually a prequantization of $\mathfrak{j}$ in the sense of Gotay,²⁰ since the globally Hamiltonian vector fields $\bar{T}_A^{\Gamma_R^+}$ are complete. It is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathbb{C})$ is a domain of essential self-adjointness for the representation of $\mathfrak{j}$ given by $\text{Op}(D)$.

In order to determine the maximal Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{O}$ of $C^\infty(\Gamma_R^+)$ that can consistently be quantized, we will tie to the approach that aims at providing a quantization of the pair $(\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{b})$, i.e., a prequantization of $\mathcal{O}$ which (among other things) irreducibly represents a suitably chosen basic algebra of observables $\mathfrak{b} \subset C^\infty(\Gamma_R^+)$.²⁰ It turns out that the suitable basic algebra is $\mathfrak{b} = \text{wh} = \text{span}\{u_0^{+R}, u_1^{+R}, u_3^{+R}\}$, since the restriction of $\mathcal{Q}$ to $\text{wh} \subset \mathfrak{j} = \text{span}\{u_A^{+R}\}$ provides actually a quantization of the pair $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b})$, which is equivalent to the usual Schrödinger quantization of a one-dimensional nonrelativistic free particle.

In fact, in the coordinates of Γ_R^+ defined by $q := -(u_0^{+R} + u_1^{+R})/B = -q^1 + q^0$ and $p := u_1^{+R} = -Bq^0$, the expression of the associated quantization map $\mathcal{Q}$ is exactly given by the Schrödinger representation of wh in the position representation $\{|x\rangle\}$; $\hat{q} := \mathcal{Q}(q) = x$, $\hat{p} := \mathcal{Q}(p) = -i\hbar(\partial/\partial x)$, and $\hat{1} := \mathcal{Q}(1) = 1$ on the domain D , such as $D = \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathbb{C}) \subset L^2(\mathfrak{R}, dx)$. The standard canonical quantization is well-defined; however, there is no full quantization of $(C^\infty(\Gamma_R^+), \mathfrak{b})$ in which a Von Neumann rule is compatible with the Schrödinger quantization.

Indeed, due to the strong Groenewold–Van Hove no-go theorem,²⁰ there is no quantization of (P, wh) on $\mathfrak{R}^2 \sim \Gamma_R^+$, where P denotes the polynomial subalgebra of $C^\infty(\Gamma_R^+)$ generated by $\mathfrak{b} = \text{wh}$. It turns out that the only two distinct isomorphism classes of maximal Lie subalgebras of P which contain wh are those represented by P^2 and by the set of polynomials $S = \{f(q)p + g(q)\}$, where P^2 denotes the subspace of polynomials of degree at most 2, and f, g are polynomials.²⁰

The quantization of the pair (P^2, wh) is provided by the well-known extended metaplectic quantization. On the other hand, the only classical observable in this paper that will require it is the comoment u_2^{+R} , which is in $P^2 \subset P$ but not in wh . For all the other observables that we will consider, such as position, momentum, potential energy, relativistic energy, or the Hamiltonian, the Schrödinger quantization will be enough. In particular, we will not consider any observable in S .

In addition, a straightforward calculation shows that the extended metaplectic quantization $\mathcal{Q}$ of (P^2, wh) is covariant with respect to $\bar{P}$ in the sense that, for every $f(q, p)$ in $P^2 \subset P \subset C^\infty(\Gamma_R^+)$ and $g = g(\theta^a, \alpha, \beta) \in \bar{P}$, we have $\mathcal{Q}(f(q', p')) = T^{\zeta^A \zeta_A, \zeta_3}(g^{-1})\mathcal{Q}(f(q, p))T^{\zeta^A \zeta_A, \zeta_3}(g)$, where $(q', p') = l_g(q, p)$ is the left action on Γ_R^+ generated by the globally Hamiltonian vector fields $\bar{T}_A^{\Gamma_R^+}$, and ζ satisfies Eq. (10).

B. Quantum dynamics of the anomaly-free relativistic particle in (1+1) dimensions

As far as the quantum dynamics of the system is concerned, we remark that the total energy of the particle depends explicitly on time, so it does not provide a suitable Hamiltonian. For this reason, we turn to consider the dynamics from the point of view of the reduced phase-space Γ_R^+ . The central charge determines the symplectic form $\Omega^{+R} = -B \text{vol}$, which is proportional to the volume two form of space–time and can be expressed in the coordinates (q, p) of Γ_R^+ by $\Omega^{+R} = -dp \wedge dq$, with the Wess–Zumino form given by minus the Liouville form $\Lambda^{+R} = -pdq$.

Up to gauge equivalence, the dynamics on Γ_R^+ is specified by $q^0(\tau) = \tau$,

$$q^1(\tau) = q^1(\tau_0) - \sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau_0)^2}/B + \sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau)^2}/B,$$

and $\tilde{p}(\tau) = \tilde{p}(\tau_0) + B(\tau - \tau_0)$, for a given $\tilde{p}(\tau_0)$, with $\tau_0 \in \mathfrak{R}$. It follows that the proper time is given by $t' = (m/B)\text{arsinh}[\tilde{p}(\tau)/m]$, and $\tilde{p}(\tau)$ is the kinematical momentum, since $\tilde{p}(\tau) = \gamma(\tau)m(dq^1/dt)(\tau)$.

Note that the equations for $q^a(\tau)$ are regarded as Hamilton equations, while that for $\tilde{p}(\tau)$ is an identity. Moreover, retaining the space-time meaning of the reduced phase-space, the world line W of the particle is also a Hamiltonian flow in the symplectic manifold Γ_R^+ . Calculating the globally Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to this flow, $X_H(\tau) = \bar{T}_0^{\Gamma_R^+}(\tau) + [\tilde{p}(\tau)/\sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau)^2}]\bar{T}_1^{\Gamma_R^+}(\tau)$, and applying the antihomomorphism of Lie algebras $\lambda \circ \sigma^{-1}(\bar{T}_a^{\Gamma_R^+}) = u_a^{+R}$, we get the Hamiltonian $H(q, p, \tau)$.

The corresponding Hamiltonian operator splits into two parts $\hat{H}(\hat{q}, \hat{p}, \tau) = \hat{H}_0(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) + \hat{V}(\hat{p}, \tau)$, where $\hat{H}_0(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) = -B\hat{q} - \hat{p}$ and $\hat{V}(\hat{p}, \tau) = [\tilde{p}(\tau)/\sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau)^2}]\hat{p}$. Solving the eigenvalue problem $\hat{H}_0|E\rangle = E|E\rangle$, we discover that $\hat{H}_0$ has continuous spectrum with the normalized eigenfunctions given by $\langle x|E\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2\pi\hbar})\exp[-(i/\hbar)(Ex + (B/2)x^2)]$, so $\langle E'|E\rangle = \delta(E' - E)$.

Note that classically $H_0 = u_0^{+R} = Bq^1 = -2\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{pot}}(q^1)$, so $\hat{H}_0(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) = -2\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{pot}}(\hat{q}, \hat{p})$ has the meaning of a potential energy operator. Besides this fact, the total energy operator $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\hat{q}, \hat{p}, \tau) = \mathcal{E}(\tau) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{H}_0(\hat{q}, \hat{p})$, where $\mathcal{E}(\tau) := \sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau)^2}$ is the relativistic energy of the particle, satisfies $[\hat{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{H}_0] = 0$; therefore the eigenvectors of $\hat{H}_0$ are simultaneously total energy eigenstates. Then, the eigenvalues of the total energy operator are related with those of $\hat{H}_0$ through $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\tau)|E\rangle = E_T(\tau)|E\rangle$, where $E_T(\tau) = \mathcal{E}(\tau) - E/2$.

In terms of the base kets $\{|E\rangle\}$, the state ket of the system is given at $\tau = \tau_0$ by $|\alpha\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE c_E(\tau_0)|E\rangle$, where $c_E(\tau_0)$ is some known complex function of E satisfying $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE |c_E(\tau_0)|^2 = 1$. Then, for $\tau > \tau_0$, the state ket will be $|\alpha, \tau_0; \tau\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE c_E(\tau)e^{(iE/\hbar)(\tau-\tau_0)}|E\rangle$, where the $c_E(\tau)$'s satisfy the coupled differential equations

$$-i\hbar \frac{dc_E}{d\tau}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE' \langle E|\hat{V}|E'\rangle e^{[-i(E-E')/\hbar](\tau-\tau_0)} c_{E'}(\tau).$$

Solving the resulting linear homogeneous partial differential equations, we get

$$c_E(\tau) = \exp\left[\frac{i((E-\Delta\mathcal{E})^2 - E^2)}{2B\hbar}\right] \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(-\frac{\tilde{p}(\tau_0)\Delta\mathcal{E}}{2B} - \frac{m\Delta t'}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{E}\Delta\tau}{2}\right)\right] \cdot c_{E-\Delta\mathcal{E}}(\tau_0),$$

where $\Delta\mathcal{E} = \sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau)^2} - \sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau_0)^2}$, $\Delta t' = (m/B)(\text{arsinh}[\tilde{p}(\tau)/m] - \text{arsinh}[\tilde{p}(\tau_0)/m])$, and $\Delta\tau = \tau - \tau_0$.

Suppose now that the system is initially prepared in an energy eigenstate $|\alpha\rangle = |E\rangle$, then at a later time $\tau > \tau_0$ the state will be given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha, \tau_0; \tau\rangle &= \exp\left[\frac{i(E^2 - (E+\Delta\mathcal{E})^2)}{2B\hbar}\right] \cdot \exp\left[\frac{i(E+\Delta\mathcal{E})\Delta\tau}{\hbar}\right] \cdot \\ &\exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(-\frac{\tilde{p}(\tau_0)\Delta\mathcal{E}}{2B} - \frac{m\Delta t'}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{E}\Delta\tau}{2}\right)\right] \cdot |E+\Delta\mathcal{E}\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The probability as a function of time for the particle to be found in the state $|E'\rangle$ is given by $|\langle E'|\alpha, \tau_0; \tau\rangle|^2 / \langle \alpha, \tau_0; \tau|\alpha, \tau_0; \tau\rangle dE' = \delta(E' - E - \sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau)^2} + \sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau_0)^2})dE'$, which equals 1 if $E' = E + \sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau)^2} - \sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau_0)^2}$ or zero otherwise. From Eq. (11), we note that the states $|E\rangle$ are not stationary although they are total energy eigenstates, since the τ -dependent part of the Hamiltonian $\hat{V}(\hat{p}, \tau)$ causes transitions to eigenstates $|E + \sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau)^2} - \sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau_0)^2}\rangle$ of different energy.

In fact, the expectation value of the total energy operator, for instance,

$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rangle(\tau) = \frac{\langle \alpha, \tau_0; \tau | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \alpha, \tau_0; \tau \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \tau_0; \tau | \alpha, \tau_0; \tau \rangle} = \frac{\mathcal{E}(\tau)}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{E}(\tau_0)}{2} - \frac{E}{2},$$

is τ -dependent. It is not difficult to see that the function $\langle \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rangle(\tau)$ attains to a minimum at $\tau = \tau_0 - [\tilde{p}(\tau_0)/B]$, when its value is $\langle \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rangle(\tau_0 - [\tilde{p}(\tau_0)/B]) = m/2 + [\sqrt{m^2 + \tilde{p}(\tau_0)^2}/2] - (E/2)$, which only happens after τ_0 if $\tilde{p}(\tau_0)$ satisfies the condition $\text{sign}(B)\tilde{p}(\tau_0) < 0$; otherwise, $\langle \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rangle(\tau)$ is a monotonically increasing function of $\tau > \tau_0$. For this reason, the presented quantum states are stable, even though there is no true ground state.

V. DISCUSSION

We showed that the extended Poincaré group in (1+1) dimensions $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is a connected solvable exponential Lie group, with $H_0^2(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, \mathfrak{R}) = 0$ and $H_0^1(\bar{\mathcal{P}}, \mathfrak{R}) = \mathfrak{R}$ (see Sec. II). These facts were important to apply the Kirillov theorem to perform a classification of the two-dimensional relativistic elementary systems and to work out explicitly all the irrep's of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ by the orbit method (see Sec. III). The particular class of irrep's $T^{\zeta^A \zeta_A, \zeta_3}$ with ζ satisfying Eq. (10) turned out to be connected to a covariant maximal polynomial quantization of the anomaly-free relativistic particle in (1+1) dimensions, which provided a quantum-mechanical interpretation for the construction in this most physically interesting case (see Sec. IV).

We remark that the Bohr–Wilson–Sommerfeld condition²¹ is trivially satisfied by the anomaly-free relativistic particle in (1+1) dimensions, and it does not yield the quantization of any observable quantity, which is consistent with the fact that the system is not conservative and the world lines are open. It is worth mentioning that $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is related to the one-dimensional oscillator group $\text{Os}(1)$ by the Weyl unitary trick. However, the group $\text{Os}(1)$ is not solvable exponential, and the orbit method gives all its irrep's only through holomorphic induction.²²

It is remarkable that the extended metaplectic quantization of the anomaly-free relativistic particle is covariant with respect to $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, inasmuch as a covariant Stratonovich–Weyl kernel for the corresponding coadjoint orbits has not been found yet.¹⁰ However, this difficulty is not directly related to the fact that there is an obstruction to fully quantizing the system, since there are symplectic manifolds such as $\mathfrak{R}^2$ or S^2 for which the problem of the generalized Weyl–Wigner–Moyal quantization has successfully been solved,¹⁰ although obstructions have been found.²⁰

The group $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ enjoys several properties in common with other Lie groups of low dimension such as the Weyl–Heisenberg group WH , the Euclidean group $\text{E}(2)$, and the affine group $\text{Aff}_+(1, \mathfrak{R})$, which found applications in fields such as electronics, signal processing, and quantum optics. As all these groups have square-integrable representations, in a subsequent publication it would be interesting to test whether the irrep's $T^{\zeta^A \zeta_A, \zeta_3}$ of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ are square integrable with respect to $\text{orb}(\zeta)$. This fact would allow us to work out the associated generalized coherent states, generalized wavelet transforms, and generalized Wigner functions,²³ which would surely be an invaluable mathematical tool in the context of the phase-space formulation of the quantum anomaly-free relativistic particle in (1+1) dimensions.

Acknowledgments

R. O. de M. would like to thank R. F. Streater for reading the preprint, R. Aldrovandi for the discussions about the orbit method, and N. Grishkov for helping us to calculate the second cohomology group of the extended Poincaré algebra. This research was partially supported by CNPq. V. O. R. acknowledges support from PRONEX under Contract CNPq 66.2002/1998-99.

APPENDIX: The Method of Orbits

Before considering the Bernat–Pukanszky theory of exponential groups,^{8,9} let us briefly review the standard procedure to form a unitary induced representation, since the theory of induced representations developed by Mackey^{24,25} plays an essential role in the method of orbits.

Let H be a closed subgroup of a locally compact topological group with a countable basis G and U a one-dimensional unitary representation of H on the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. We introduce the space $L(G, H, U)$ of complex-valued measurable functions F on G that satisfy the condition $F(hg) = \Delta_{H,G}(h)^{-1/2} U(h) F(g)$, where $\Delta_{H,G}(h) = \Delta_H(h)/\Delta_G(h)$, $h \in H$, $g \in G$, and $g \mapsto \Delta_G(g)$ is the modulus of the group G .

The group G can be identified with $H \times X$, where X is the right G -space $X = H \backslash G$, since every element of $g \in G$ can be written uniquely in the form $g = hs(x)$ with $x \in X$. Under this identification, the right Haar measure on G splits into the product of a quasi-invariant measure ν_s on X , depending upon the choice of a Borel mapping s of X into G having the property that $s(Hg) \in Hg$, by the right Haar measure on H ; $d\nu(g) = \Delta_{H,G}(h) d\nu_s(x) d\nu(h)$. The measure ν_s on X is G -invariant if and only if $\Delta_G(h) = \Delta_H(h)$.

The space $L(G, H, U)$ is clearly invariant under right translations on G . Let $L^2(G, H, U)$ denote the Hilbert space generated by the square-integrable functions F in $L(G, H, U)$; then, we call the unitary representation T acting by right translations upon the Hilbert space $L^2(G, H, U)$, according to $[T(g)F](g') = F(g'g)$, the representation induced in the sense of Mackey by the representation U and we will denote it by $\text{ind}(G, H, U)$.

It is not difficult to see that there is an isomorphism $F \mapsto f$ of the Hilbert space $L^2(G, H, U)$ onto the Hilbert space $L^2(X, \nu_s, \mathbb{C})$, generated by the square-integrable complex functions having compact support on X with respect to the measure ν_s , which associates a function $f \in L^2(X, \nu_s, \mathbb{C})$ defined by $f(x) = F(s(x))$ with every $F \in L^2(G, H, U)$. Under this isomorphism, the induced representations in the sense of Mackey can be realized on the Hilbert space $L^2(X, \nu_s, \mathbb{C})$ through $[T(g)f](x) = \Delta_{H,G}(h)^{-1/2} U(h) f(xg)$, where the element $h \in H$ is defined from the relation $s(x)g = hs(xg)$.

Now, we can sketch the orbit method. Let G be an exponential group, $\mathfrak{g}$ its real exponential Lie algebra, and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ its dual. We say that a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is subordinate to $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ if its first derived algebra is orthogonal to ζ , or $\langle \zeta, [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \rangle = 0$. Denoting by $H \subset G$ the subgroup corresponding to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ subordinate to $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, we define the unitary one-dimensional representation of H by $U(\exp X) = \exp(i\langle \zeta, X \rangle)$, which is related to the character χ of H simply by $\chi(\exp X) = U(\exp X)$, where $X \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Then a unitary induced representation $\text{ind}(G, H, U)$ of G is irreducible if and only if the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ subordinate to $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is admissible, i.e., if its dimension is maximal in the family of all subalgebras subordinate to ζ and if it satisfies Pukanszky's condition.⁹ The maximality condition is equivalent to $\dim \mathfrak{h} = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \frac{1}{2} \dim \text{orb}(\zeta)$, and Pukanszky's condition requires that the linear variety $\zeta + H^\perp$ is contained in $\text{orb}(\zeta)$, where $H^\perp$ denotes the orthogonal complement of H in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Bernat⁸ showed that the first condition implies the second one if $\mathfrak{g}$ is quasinilpotent (i.e., all the real eigenvalues of $\text{ad}(X)$ are zero, for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$), otherwise the two conditions are independent. In particular, every nilpotent group is quasinilpotent.

It can be shown²⁶ that, for any given ζ , there is a subordinate subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ satisfying the two conditions above. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{h}_1$ and $\mathfrak{h}_2$ are, respectively, maximal dimension subalgebras subordinate to ζ_1 and ζ_2 , further obeying Pukanszky's condition, then $\text{ind}(G, H_1, U_1) = \text{ind}(G, H_2, U_2)$ if and only if ζ_1 and ζ_2 belong to the same coadjoint orbit, the equal sign indicating unitary equivalence. Reciprocally, any irrep of G is representable in the form $\text{ind}(G, H, U)$ by specifying $\mathfrak{h}$ and ζ appropriately, thus establishing a canonical bijection between the space $\mathcal{O}(G)$ of coadjoint orbits and the unitary dual $\hat{G}$ of any solvable exponential Lie group. It is worth mentioning that every coadjoint orbit of the connected and simply connected solvable type I Lie groups (and, in particular, of the exponential groups) is integral (i.e., satisfies the integrality condition).

¹ C. G. Callan, S. B. Giddings, J. A. Harvey, and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D **45**, R1005 (1992).

² D. Cangemi and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 233 (1992).

- ³ Y. Kazama (1994), lecture given at the 13th Symposium on Theoretical Physics, Sorak, hep-th/9412224.
- ⁴ A. Strominger (1995), lecture given at Les Houches, hep-th/9501071.
- ⁵ D. Cangemi and R. Jackiw, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **225**, 229 (1993).
- ⁶ J. P. Lupi, A. Restuccia, and J. Stephany, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 3861 (1996).
- ⁷ M. M. Leite and V. O. Rivelles, Phys. Lett. B **392**, 305 (1997).
- ⁸ M. P. Bernat, Ann. Sci. Ec. Normale Super. **82**, 37 (1965).
- ⁹ L. Pukanszky, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **126**, 487 (1967).
- ¹⁰ M. Gadella, M. A. Martín, L. M. Nieto, and M. A. del Olmo, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 1182 (1991).
- ¹¹ J. Negro and M. A. Olmo, J. Math. Phys. **34**, 1206 (1993).
- ¹² J. F. Cariñena, J. M. Gracia-Bondía, and J. C. Várilly, J. Phys. A **23**, 901 (1990).
- ¹³ J. A. Azcárraga and J. M. Izquierdo, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, Cohomology and Some Applications in Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- ¹⁴ P. Bernat, N. Conze, M. Duflo, M. Lévy-Nahas, M. Rais, P. Renouard, and M. Vergne, *Représentations des Groupes de Lie Résolubles* (Dunod, Paris, 1972).
- ¹⁵ A. A. Kirillov, Bull., New Ser., Am. Math. Soc. **36**, 433 (1999).
- ¹⁶ B. Kostant, in *Lectures in Modern Analysis and Applications III*, edited by C. Taam (Springer, Berlin, 1970), Vol. 170 of *Lect. Notes Math.*, pp. 87–208.
- ¹⁷ A. A. Kirillov, *Elements of the Theory of Representations* (Springer, Berlin, 1976).
- ¹⁸ S. T. Ali and J. P. Antoine, Ann. I.H.P. Phys. Theor. **51**, 23 (1989).
- ¹⁹ R. O. de Mello, Ph.D. thesis, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo (2001).
- ²⁰ M. J. Gotay (1998), math-ph/9809011, revised and updated version of paper published in J. Nonlinear Sci. **6**, 469 (1996).
- ²¹ N. Woodhouse, *Geometric Quantization* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1980).
- ²² R. F. Streater, Commun. Math. Phys. **4**, 217 (1967).
- ²³ S. T. Ali, N. M. Atakishiyev, S. M. Chumakov, and K. B. Wolf, Ann. Henri Poincaré **1**, 685 (2000).
- ²⁴ G. W. Mackey, Ann. Math. **55**, 101 (1952).
- ²⁵ G. W. Mackey, Ann. Math. **58**, 193 (1953).
- ²⁶ L. Pukanszky, J. Funct. Anal. **2**, 73 (1968).